



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/053,942 01/24/2002 | | Youlian Stefanov Dimitrov | 5948 | |
| 75 | 90 09/16/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Youlian Dimitrov | | | PATEL, GAUTAM | |
| Suite #152 2106 Bath Ave. | Flr. 1 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Brooklyn, NY | | 2655 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Appli | ication No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| . Office Action Summary | | 10/0 | 53,942 | DIMITROV, YOULIAN STEFANOV | | |
| | | Exan | niner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Gauta | am R. Patel | 2655 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) file | d on <u>12 April 200</u> | <u>02</u> . | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| • | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | ıt(s) | | | | | |
| 1) 🛛 Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pimation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I | | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| | er No(s)/Mail Date | | 6) Other: | ••• | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/053,942 Page 2

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 is pending for the examination.

NOTES & REMARKS

2. An examination of this application is unfamiliar with patent prosecuting procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of the patent is largely dependent upon skillful preparation and prosecution.

The office CANNOT aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorney and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.". This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Specification Objection

3. The disclosure is objected for following reasons.

A substitute specification in <u>proper idiomatic English</u> and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by <u>a statement that it contains **no new matter**.</u>

Corrections are required.

OBJECTION to CLAIMS

4. The claim in its present form has bad format and languauge. The claim should not recite that "I claim that I am ..." etc.

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

5. The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

So called "three dimensional method (3D), which I used for creating an audio CD or DVD .." is not described in the specification. On page 2 the specification mentions only three dimensional sound which can be read[ed] from regular CD. Accordingly, the specification does not explain to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, how to make and or use the invention comprising the claimed "three dimensional method".

6. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 1-3 are confusing and unclear. It is not clear what kind of method was developed and what is its relationship with three dimensional sound.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Page 3

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international

Application/Control Number: 10/053,942

Art Unit: 2655

application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

8. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Fuhrer, US. patent 6,395,969 (hereafter <u>Fuhrer</u>).

As to claim 1, Fuhrer discloses the invention as claimed [see Figs. 2-5] including CD/DVD recording medium and three dimensional sound storage, comprising:

Three dimensional method (3D), which is used for creating an audio CD or DVD disks that can be recoded into a 3D CD or 3D DVD read-only disks and the disks can be used in the music market [col. 7, line 44 to col. 8, line 23].

9. NOTE: Three-dimensional audio recording on CD or DVD has been known for along time see few patents below

Other prior art cited

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Zaccarin et al. (US. Patent 6,778,607) "method and apparatus ..".
 - b. Quy (US. Patent 5,601,435) "Method and apparatus".

Contact Information

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or the group Customer Service section whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

September 13, 2004

GAUTAM R. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER